

Houston

am

1200

DEMOGRAPHIA)

Los Angeles

# DEMOGRAPHIA)

Table 1

# Subprime Mortgage Share & House Value Increases Relative to Household Incomes By State/DC: 2000 to 2006

	Object the later of		
	Change in House	Outersizes	
	Values Relative to	Subprime	
	Household	Mortgages as a	
	Incomes (Median	Share of all	Land Market
o / D. o.	Value Multiple):	Mortgages: 2008:	Category (See
State/DC	2000 to 2006	2nd Quarter	Notes Below)
Alabama	6.7%	9.4%	1
Alaska	31.6%	10.4%	1
Arizona	58.5%	14.8%	2
Arkansas	14.2%	8.6%	1
California	103.5%	12.9%	2
Colorado	23.7%	10.7%	2
Connecticut	49.7%	11.0%	2
Delaware	54.0%	9.6%	1
District of Columbia	110.3%	8.7%	2
Florida	76.2%	15.8%	2
Georgia	19.4%	11.5%	1
Hawaii	53.3%	10.9%	2
Idaho	34.9%	8.9%	1
Illinois	34.7%	11.6%	2
Indiana	13.9%	13.3%	1
lowa	20.3%	7.3%	1
Kansas	20.0%	9.0%	1
Kentucky	4.0%	11.0%	1
Louisiana	7.2%	12.9%	1
Maine	39.2%	12.2%	1
Maryland	83.6%	11.1%	2
Massachusetts	58.7%	10.1%	2
Michigan	20.3%	13.2%	1
Minnesota	51.0%	9.3%	2
Mississippi	9.4%	14.1%	1
Missouri	26.0%	11.2%	1
Montana	27.4%	5.5%	1
Nebraska	15.5%	8.2%	1
Nevada	78.1%	17.7%	2
	70.170	17.170	<u> </u>

New Hampshire	52.4%	10.6%	2
New Jersey	78.7%	10.5%	2
New Mexico	8.7%	8.9%	1
New York	70.9%	13.3%	2
North Carolina	9.0%	9.4%	1
North Dakota	12.4%	4.5%	1
Ohio	16.7%	13.7%	1
Oklahoma	10.6%	11.4%	1
Oregon	34.3%	9.5%	2
Pennsylvania	31.3%	12.6%	1
Rhode Island	78.8%	13.0%	2
South Carolina	12.8%	10.6%	1
South Dakota	4.6%	5.3%	1
Tennessee	15.0%	13.0%	2
Texas	18.8%	12.5%	1
Utah	16.4%	10.9%	1
Vermont	38.1%	7.2%	1
Virginia	59.8%	9.1%	2
Washington	36.1%	8.9%	2
West Virginia	1.2%	11.6%	1
Wisconsin	28.9%	9.2%	2
Wyoming	21.8%	7.8%	1

### Sources

Median house price and median household income from US Bureau of the Census Subprime mortgage share from Mortgage Bankers Association for 2008: 2nd Quarte

### Note on Housing Bubble Peak (2006)

2006 was the peak of the "housing bubble" as measured by median house value relative to median household income (Median Value Multiple)

### Notes on Land Market Categories:

1: Responsive Land Use Market (Traditional or liberal land use regulation): Minimal impact on land costs.

2: Prescriptive Land Use Market (significant restriction on land development, substantial government land ownership, or other significant regulations): Significant land cost increase impacts

State land market categories based upon classification of largest metropolitan area in the state, include those classified as "growth management," "growth control," "containment" and "contain-lite" in From Traditional to Reformed A Review of the Land Use Regulations in the Nation's 50 largest Metropolitan Areas (Brookings Institution, 2006) as well as markets Demographia has determined to have significant rural zoning (large lot zoning) and land preservation restrictions (New York, Chicago, Milwaukee, Minneapolis-St. Paul, Virginia Beach and Washington). New Hampshire category based upon Boston metropolitan area classification (The portion of Boston in New Hampshire is the largest metropolitan area in the state).

### DEMOGRAPHIA)

### Table 2

Subprime Mortgage Share & House Value Increases Relative to Household Incomes

## By Subprime Mortgage Share Quintile: State/DC

Subprime Mortgage Quintile	Subprime Mortgages as a Share of all Mortgages: 2008: 2nd Quarter	Change in House Values Relative to Household Incomes (Median Value Multiple): 2000 to 2006: All States & DC	Change: 2000 to 2006: Responsive Land Market States	Change: 2000 to 2006: Prescriptive Land Market States & DC	House Value Increases: Prescriptive Markets Compared to Responsive Markets
Quintile 1	7.2%	30.0%	21.1%	65.3%	3.09
Quintile 2	9.2%	30.9%	19.7%	42.0%	2.13
Quintile 3	10.7%	42.3%	16.2%	56.9%	3.52
Quintile 4	12.0%	29.2%	19.2%	69.1%	3.60
Quintile 5	14.2%	43.8%	15.1%	62.9%	4.17
Overall	10.7%	35.3%	18.8%	57.1%	3.03

Notes at Table 1

#### **Cover Illustrations**

Top:

\$550,000 house on urban fringe of Houston (4,600 square feet on 0.4 acres): 2007 Bottom:

\$550,000 house on urban fringe of Los Angeles (1,700 square feet on 0.1 acres): 2007

#### Subprime Mortgages & House Price Increases by State

2009.02